

SHERIFFS' CHALLENGE PRESENTATION CRITERIA 2023

HEATS Team..... Total score out of 100.....

	Overall mark range: Fair/Good 40 - 59	Overall mark range: Very Good 60 - 79	Overall mark range: Excellent 80 - 100
Criteria	Mark range for the Plan: Fair/Good: 4 - 5 (0 score for non-submission)	Mark range for the Plan: Very Good: 6 - 7	Mark range for the Plan: Excellent: 8 - 10
1. The Plan (see structured outline of what to include) <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; margin: 5px 0;">Score out of 10</div> Comment	A plan but rather sketchy and not developing the sections of the structured outline. Possibly not well related to the presentation.	Plan is reasonably developed in the sections of the structured outline and mostly related to the presentation.	The Plan clearly sets out and develops the relevant elements in each of the sections of the structured outline. It relates closely to the presentation and provides additional contextual information. Possibly stylishly expressed and technically accurate.
	Mark range for criteria 2 – 4: Fair/Good: 12 - 17	Mark range for criteria 2 – 4: Very Good: 18 - 23	Mark range for criteria 2 - 4: Excellent: 24 - 30
2. Organisation of the team and structure of the material <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block; margin: 5px 0;">Score out of 30</div> Comment	<p>There is some allocation of roles and material within the team.</p> <p>Members tend to work as individuals.</p> <p>Mostly logical and coherent.</p> <p>Timing not within the 8 minutes or short.</p>	<p>Individual presenters are allocated roles and aspects of the material</p> <p>There is some team cooperation between individual speakers.</p> <p>Reasonably logical structure and development of points.</p> <p>Timing more or less correct.</p>	<p>Individual presenters are deployed effectively and contribute incrementally to the whole presentation.</p> <p>Team members work well together.</p> <p>The presentation's structure is logical, cohesive and points flow on from an introduction through linked points to an appropriate conclusion.</p> <p>Timed within 8 minutes.</p>

<p>3. Content/knowledge of the subject</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Score out of 30</p> <p>Comment</p>	<p>Correct, basic information.</p> <p>Mostly unsupported.</p> <p>Mostly relevant to the topic.</p> <p>Limited/simple material</p> <p>Knowledge and concepts stated but without clear explanation</p> <p>A conclusion.</p>	<p>Correct and interesting information.</p> <p>Supported by references.</p> <p>Relevant.</p> <p>A variety of material.</p> <p>Well-known knowledge and concepts explained.</p> <p>A conclusion that sums up the points made.</p>	<p>Factually correct and impressive information.</p> <p>A range of research sources.</p> <p>Clearly relevant and well selected.</p> <p>Excellent depth and range of material and ideas.</p> <p>Some lesser known and intriguing information.</p> <p>Some interesting and/or original insights.</p> <p>A clear, concluding message.</p>
<p>4. Presentation skills to engage and inspire the audience</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Score out of 30</p> <p>Comment</p>	<p>Patchy audibility.</p> <p>Close use of notes or reading.</p> <p>Possibly slightly fast/one-paced/monotone.</p> <p>Simply expressed.</p> <p>Maybe rather stiff and restrained.</p> <p>Some attempts at making the material audience - appropriate, but not always effective.</p>	<p>Mostly audible.</p> <p>Some use of notes.</p> <p>Generally appropriate use of voice.</p> <p>Language and register appropriate.</p> <p>Some eye contact and interaction with the audience.</p> <p>A good effort at making the material and delivery appropriate to the audience.</p>	<p>Audible and fluent.</p> <p>Natural delivery with minimal reference to notes.</p> <p>Effective use of voice (e.g. tone, pace, volume, emphasis).</p> <p>Confident use of appropriate/stylish language and register.</p> <p>Constant use of effective body language and eye contact to interact with the audience.</p> <p>Built links with their audience for impact.</p> <p>Totally effective in engaging the audience with appropriate material and delivery.</p>

N.B. Marks lower than those in the Fair/Good range for any criterion can be awarded if any element is Poor.

Judges' feedback to the team: